



With both growth and inflation currently at elevated levels, the challenge for investors is determining how much of the 6% economy will be accounted for by growth and how much by inflation. (UBS)

Why the US is currently in a new macro regime

22 April 2024, 4:48 pm CEST, written by UBS Editorial Team

The first official estimate of 1Q US GDP growth will be released on 26 April. The Bloomberg consensus forecast is 2.5%, while the Atlanta Fed GDPNow tracking estimate is 2.9%.

Even if the final number falls short of 3%, it will still be a remarkable demonstration of strength by the US economy, coming after 4.9% and 3.4% growth in 3Q23 and 4Q23, respectively, and the most aggressive Fed tightening cycle in over four decades. Yet, this achievement may be downplayed, viewed as a product of fiscal largesse or as backward-looking data that's not a good indication of where the economy goes from here. Too strong of a number may even be bad for the markets by compounding inflation re-acceleration anxiety.

For all the cheering, dismissing, or hand-wringing that may follow the number, it's important to ask a simple question: Is this what the economy is landing on, one that grows at a 6% nominal rate? While investors spent much of last year debating whether the economy would experience a soft or hard landing, there was little explicit discussion about what the economy would actually land on in the soft outcome. The implicit assumption was that real growth would slow to around the long-term trend rate—generally accepted to be about 2%—with inflation also falling back to the Fed's 2% target. In other words, to roughly 4% nominal GDP growth.

What's playing out is something quite different. In 1Q, CPI inflation annualized to 4.6%, so a crude forecast for 1Q nominal GDP growth is 7%—the sum of inflation and the consensus estimate for real GDP growth. Moreover, both the GDPNow tracking estimate and economist forecasts rose steadily over the past month, which were about 2% or lower in early March. Given that positive momentum, it's understandable why the "no landing" scenario has recently gained adherents. But the implicit assumption in that view is that a 6% nominal growth rate is unsustainable and that the economy will eventually land at a lower level.

Yet, the longer the economy grows at a 6%-plus nominal rate, the more likely that it has landed to a new macro regime defined by this higher growth rate, not 4%. The forecast for 7% in Q1 already comes after annual nominal GDP growth of 10.6% (2021), 9.1% (2022), and 6.3% (2023) over the last three years. Looking back over the past few decades shows that 6% is not extraordinary and closer to the rule than the exception. The nominal growth rate averaged 5.85% from 1992 through 2000, about 5.4% from 2002 to 2007, but only 4% in the 2010s. Recency bias may be why many investors have anchored on 4%. But as time passes, it's becoming increasingly clear to us that the "lower-for-longer" 2010s regime, weighed down by the weak post-Global Financial Crisis recovery, is the anomaly, not the new normal.

This doesn't mean that nominal growth will average 6% in the 2020s, but there are good reasons why a rate between 5% and 6% is a realistic expectation. The risks for inflation are skewed to the upside because of potential supply constraints in the labor market, and due to geopolitical disruptions or climate events. How elevated inflation stays depends on the Fed. Its economic projections call for gradual disinflation and their willingness to cut rates while core inflation is still well above 2% suggests that inflation up to 3% is tolerable, conditional on long-term inflation expectations staying anchored. It will be harder for real GDP growth to remain close to 3%. But households collectively in strong financial shape, a Federal government biased towards spending, the need for more investment, elevated immigration, and faster productivity growth could combine to keep growth well over 2%.

The "6% economy" is essentially the one described in our [Roaring '20s presentation](#), with the likelihood of this scenario occurring predicated on positive supply-side developments. The most recent productivity and immigration data are consistent with this outcome. More such positive supply stories will be necessary for this economy to persist for the rest of this decade.

Investors may not be distilling their economic outlook to a nominal GDP growth rate, but much of what's happening in financial markets right now reflects an implicit debate about the sustainable level and composition of nominal growth. One clear impact is on interest rates and how much the Fed will cut in this cycle. All else equal, the higher nominal growth remains, the higher rates should be. The 75bps rise in the 10-year Treasury yield and the market going from pricing nearly seven Fed rate cuts to less than two this year coincides with the market-implied neutral fed funds rate also going up about 70bps. There are other factors driving rates higher, but the rise is consistent with investors starting to embrace the idea that the US economy is in a higher nominal growth regime.

The rate rise has been a headwind for equities in April, and why rates are rising matters a great deal for the investment outlook. Stocks can usually digest fairly well higher rates due to better growth expectations, which was largely the case in Q1. But it was the third straight month of inflation exceeding expectations that lifted rates even higher in April. With both growth and inflation currently at elevated levels, the challenge for investors is determining how much of the 6% economy will be accounted for by growth and how much by inflation. The recent sticky inflation data may require tighter financial conditions to slow growth, thereby tilting the 6% nominal growth to a less favorable inflation-growth balance for risk assets. Yet at the same time strong nominal growth is good for earnings.

The bottom line: The US is in a new macro regime, one that can be called the 6% economy or, less elegantly, the 5–6% economy, reflecting its nominal growth rate. This regime may not persist, but investors are starting to accept it as a very plausible outcome. Yet it's also not without its investment challenges because the tension between good growth also being potentially inflationary is a situation that investors haven't had to navigate in many years. The resumption of disinflation this year (that we expect) should alleviate this tension and allow risk assets to resume their grind higher. But until that happens and investors are comfortable investing in this regime, expect more bouts of market volatility.

Main contributor - Jason Draho

Original report - [The 6% economy, 22 April 2024](#).

Important information

As a firm providing wealth management services to clients, UBS Financial Services, Inc is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as an investment adviser and a broker-dealer, offering both investment advisory and brokerage services. Advisory services and brokerage services are separate and distinct, differ in material ways and are governed by different laws and separate contracts. It is important that you carefully read the agreements and disclosures UBS provides to you about the products or services offered. For more information, please visit our website at www.ubs.com/workingwithus.

© UBS 2023. All rights reserved. UBS Financial Services Inc. is a subsidiary of UBS AG. Member FINRA/SIPC.

There are two sources of UBS research. Reports from the first source, UBS CIO Global Wealth Management, are designed for individual investors and are produced by UBS Global Wealth Management (which includes UBS Financial Services Inc. and UBS International Inc.). The second research source is UBS Group Research, whose primary business focus is institutional investors. The two sources operate independently and may therefore have different recommendations. The various research content provided does not take into account the unique investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any specific individual investor. If you have any questions, please consult your Financial Advisor. UBS Financial Services Inc. is a subsidiary of UBS AG and an affiliate of UBS International Inc.