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Dear reader,

Over the past five years, owner-occupied homes have been a good investment: the median total return of the most important financial centers was 10% annually, accounting for an imputed rental income and book profits from rising prices. So “golden concrete” has seemingly outperformed the stock markets.

On the other hand, the term “affordability crisis” dominates headlines. Fewer and fewer resident households can afford to buy their own home. The crowding out of long-time residents from their local housing markets by foreign investors is triggering political reactions.

Accordingly, foreign and buy-to-let investors are the main group being targeted by new regulatory measures. It is becoming more difficult, more expensive and in some cases outright impossible for them to acquire residential space. Especially in the luxury market, regulatory intervention can bring demand to a standstill and trigger a price correction.

Strained affordability is not only a source of risk for developers and foreign investors. Rising interest rates and tighter lending conditions can abruptly end a real estate boom if property becomes too pricy, as the current example of Sydney shows.

How appealing the returns of owner-occupied homes will be in the next few years is questionable. Last year the house price boom in key cities was already losing intensity and scope. Inflation-adjusted prices declined in almost half of the cities analyzed. The UBS Global Real Estate Bubble Index reveals where the imbalances are currently greatest and where the first cracks in the valuation edifice are visible.

We hope you have an engaging read.

Claudio Saputelli  
Head Swiss & Global Real Estate  
Chief Investment Office GWM

Matthias Holzhey  
Head Swiss Real Estate Investments  
Chief Investment Office GWM
Key results

Most cities in overvalued territory


Increasingly strained affordability

The median price-to-income (PI) multiple of the cities in the study increased from 5.5 in 2008 to 7.5 today. Buying a 60m² apartment in most world cities exceeds the budget of most people who earn the average annual income paid in the highly skilled service sector.

Two-tier market dynamics

In half of the cities in the study housing markets are booming with inflation-adjusted prices rising at least 5% in the last four quarters. However, in the other half of the cities house prices were stalling or declining.

Beware of rising interest rates

Historically, investors have had to be alert to rising interest rates, which have served as the main trigger of corrections. Most such downdrafts in the past 40 years have been preceded by an increase in rates.

1981

The last house price correction of more than 15% in British Columbia’s largest city occurred in 1981.

20%

Inflation-adjusted incomes have climbed by 20% over the last decade in the City by the Bay, roughly twice as fast as in other US cities.
Key results

9%
Prices and rents marched in lockstep last year in the Bavarian capital, increasing by 9%.

100 ppt.
Price rises in the Japanese capital have outpaced those in the rest of the country by roughly 100 percentage points since 1998.

45%
Real housing prices in Holland’s largest city have soared by 45% in the last three years.

5.7 years
In Milan you need to work only 5.7 years to afford a 60m² (650 sqft) flat, which represents the best value in Europe.

22 years
You need to work 22 years to afford a 60m² flat in the Asian metropolis. Ten years ago it was just 12 years.

Zero
There has been no difference between house price and income growth in Singapore over the last 30 years.
Cracks appearing at the top end

Inflation-adjusted city prices increased by 3.5% on average over the last four quarters, considerably less than in previous years but still above the 10-year average. They remained on an explosive uptrend in the largest Eurozone economic centers, as well as in Hong Kong or Vancouver. But the first cracks in the boom’s foundation have begun appearing: house prices declined in half of last year’s bubble risk cities.

Two-tier valuation changes

Extraordinary breadth of the boom
Over the course of the last five years, house prices in major cities have increased by 35% on average. In San Francisco, Munich and Vancouver price growth was double the average. Overall, the price boom has not been spectacular, but it has been broad-based. Until recently nearly all the cities enjoyed rising house prices, something seen in the late 1980s and before the 2008 market crash. This exceptional breadth of the current house price boom has various roots. Easy financing conditions boosted demand almost everywhere. Major cities profited from the growing importance of the digital economy and the wider trend toward urbanization. Finally, the number of wealthy households searching for safe assets in the most attractive residential areas surged.

Cling together, swing together?
Despite the breadth of the boom, house price growth rates in the top financial centers did not exhibit patterns of synchronized acceleration or deceleration. In general, synchronization of house prices is only high in times of crisis. This is no surprise as most downdrafts in the past

Price bubbles are a regularly recurring phenomenon in property markets. The term “bubble” refers to a substantial and sustained mis-pricing of an asset, the existence of which cannot be proved unless it bursts. But historical data reveals patterns of property market excesses. Typical signs include a decoupling of prices from local incomes and rents, and imbalances in the real economy, such as excessive lending and construction activity. The UBS Global Real Estate Bubble Index gauges the risk of a property bubble on the basis of such patterns. The Index does not predict whether and when a correction will set in. A change in macroeconomic momentum, a shift in investor sentiment or a major supply increase could trigger a decline in house prices.
40 years have been preceded by rising interest rates, which are correlated across countries. Idiosyncratic factors such as local affordability, tax policy and supply-side restrictions clearly dominate current housing market dynamics and consequently determine the medium-term outlook.

**A crisis of affordability**
The growing imbalances stemmed primarily from home prices in cities decoupling from the respective national averages and local incomes. Most households can no longer afford to buy property in the top financial centers without a substantial inheritance. Rents continue to consume a significant share of income. These affordability issues will trigger further policy responses.

**Politics may cause an overcorrection**
Politicians can act in a range of ways, from increasing taxes on vacant homes through levying stamp duties to curbing leverage. Subsidies for first-home buyers and rent-control measures are other policy options. In markets whose prices are highly influenced by sentiment or foreign capital flows, new regulations pose a significant risk. If implemented at the peak of a boom, they may cause prices to overcorrect. Low affordability also jeopardizes cities’ long-term growth potential and could cause investors to reassess their expectations about future capital gains.

**Lower risk of economic contagion**
In contrast to the boom of the late 1980s and the years preceding the 2008 market crash, no evidence of simultaneous excesses in lending and construction exists. Outstanding mortgage volumes are growing (at best) half as fast as in the run-up to the Great Financial Crisis, which should limit the economic damage of any price correction that occurs. Nevertheless, caution is warranted and investors in wildly overvalued markets should not expect real price appreciation in the medium to long run.

---

**Housing prices rising in almost all cities**
Inflation-adjusted price growth rates, annualized in percent
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Regional cycles

Eurozone

All cities except Milan boast higher index scores over the last four quarters. Vibrant income growth, excessively low borrowing rates and bullish expectations caused valuations to soar and local bubble risks to rise. Inflation-adjusted prices in Munich, Amsterdam, Paris and Frankfurt have reached record highs. The price growth rates in Frankfurt and Amsterdam hit double-digit territory. In Milan, however, investor demand is subdued due to an uncertain political and economic outlook.

Rest of Europe

Index scores have declined in almost all cities over the last year. In Switzerland tighter mortgage-market regulations and higher vacancy rates for rental apartments limit upside. In Geneva imbalances have been receding since 2012. After years of excessive price growth, a correction set in in Stockholm as stricter amortization regulations reined in demand and caused the city to drop out of bubble-risk territory. London’s index score declined for the second year in a row. Strained affordability, political uncertainty and a less-favorable tax environment for international and buy-to-let investors kept housing demand in check.

United States

Overall, the index scores for the cities in this study are below their 2006 peak values. The divergent rates of market valuation increases since then reflect regional differences in economic growth. Last year rising mortgage rates and decreasing affordability only partly curbed housing demand. In Boston and Chicago valuations remained mostly low. New York’s score dropped slightly given the lack of price growth over the last four quarters. On the other hand, scores rose in the West Coast cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. Notably, real prices in San Francisco have climbed 9% since last summer and now exceed their 2006 peak by more than 20%. This city is approaching high valuation risk.
Canada

Vancouver, whose house prices accelerated to a double-digit rate relative to last year, has a ballooning index score. Higher stamp duties for foreign investors proved futile in braking its boom. By contrast, Toronto’s price dynamics have slowed considerably and its index score declined somewhat from last year’s. In both cities, valuations have trended upward since the late 1990s. Neither the financial crisis nor weakening commodity prices has dragged them down. But rising rates, stricter market regulations or an economic downturn could turn the lights out on the party given the high valuations and strained affordability.

Hong Kong and Singapore

In contrast to those of their US and European counterparts, property prices in Hong Kong and Singapore soared by double-digit rates shortly after the Great Financial Crisis as capital shifted to emerging economies. But in Singapore overall house prices have stayed pretty much the same since 2012. Last year’s price climb provoked an increase in stamp duties targeted at speculative investors. Valuations still managed to inch up but the city remains in fair-valued territory. By contrast, the index points to bubble-risk territory in Hong Kong, where house prices have continued to increase by an annual rate of almost 10% since 2012. Regulatory measures proved ineffective to restrain insatiable investor demand and speculative price expectations.

Japan and Australia

Tokyo’s housing market decoupled from the rest of country due to relatively supportive demographics and low interest rates. Over the last four years prices have moved up 25% and the city’s index score has entered overvalued territory. But valuations are still far away from the inflated environment of the late 1980s. Sydney’s housing market reached bubble-risk territory in 2015 thanks to buoyant foreign demand, low interest rates and exuberant expectations. It peaked last year and has since corrected by 5% in real terms in light of tighter mortgage lending. Despite its index score plunging, the city remains highly overvalued.
Global cities’ benchmarks

Price-to-income

Buying a 60m² apartment exceeds the budget of people who earn the average annual income in the highly skilled service sector in most world cities. In Hong Kong, even those who earn twice the city’s average income would struggle to afford an apartment of that size. House prices have also decoupled from local incomes in London, Paris, Singapore, New York and Tokyo, where price-to-income multiples exceed 10. Unaffordable housing is often a sign of strong investment demand from abroad, tight zoning and rental market regulations. If investment demand weakens, the risk of a price correction will increase and the long-term appreciation prospects will shrink.

In contrast, housing is affordable in Chicago, Boston, Frankfurt or Milan, which limits the risk of a price correction in these cities. Due to relatively high incomes, purchasing an apartment is also relatively feasible for residents of San Francisco, Zurich and Geneva.

From the perspective of a homebuyer, affordability also depends on mortgage interest rates and amortization obligations. Relatively high interest and amortization rates, for example, mean that even the relatively low price-to-income multiples in US cities can place a heavy burden on monthly income. Conversely, even elevated purchase prices can be sustained easily, without the need for full amortization and low interest rates, such as in Switzerland and the Netherlands.

The number of years a skilled service worker needs to work to be able to buy a 60m² (650 sqft) flat near the city center

Source: UBS. Remark: For explanation see the section on Methodology & data on page 22.

* Uncertainty range due to differing data quality
Price-to-rent

Zurich and Paris have the peak price-to-rent ratios, followed by Singapore and Munich. Extremely high multiplies indicate an undue dependence of housing prices on low interest rates. Overall, half of the covered cities have price-to-rent multiples above 30. House prices in all these cities are vulnerable to a sharp correction should interest rates rise.

Price-to-rent values below 20 are found only in the US cities of Los Angeles, Boston and Chicago. Their low multiplies reflect, among other things, higher interest rates and a relatively mildly regulated rental market. Conversely, rental laws in France, Germany, Switzerland and Sweden are strongly pro-tenant, preventing rentals from reflecting true market levels.

But stratospheric price-to-rent multiples reflect not only interest rates and rental market regulation but expectations of rising prices, for example in Hong Kong and Vancouver. Investors anticipate being compensated with capital gains for overly low rental yields. If such hopes do not materialize and expectations deteriorate, homeowners in markets with high price-to-rent multiples are likely to suffer significant capital losses.

The number of years a flat of the same size needs to be rented to pay for the flat

Source: UBS. Remark: For explanation see the section on Methodology & data on page 22.

* Uncertainty range due to differing data quality
Hong Kong

High risk, high reward

Annual house price growth rates

The Hong Kong property market has retained its vibrant momentum. Residential market prices have risen again by more than 10% in inflation-adjusted terms over the last four quarters, raising the city’s UBS Global Real Estate Bubble Index score higher within the bubble-risk zone. Since 2008 prices have doubled while rents have gone up by 15% and incomes have remained unchanged in real terms.

The market is chronically undersupplied. Demand remains buoyant thanks to the residential market’s high appeal to local and foreign investors alike. So over the last decade its affordability has fallen the most among the cities considered in this study. Even for highly skilled workers, property ownership is now out of reach. With citizens priced out of their own market, political pressure has mounted to curb price growth. Recently, the government announced an occupancy tax for vacant, completed units to encourage developers to sell them as quickly as possible, in an effort to improve supply.

We expect the uptrend in property prices to slow in the near term. But a sharp correction seems unlikely, given the pent-up investment demand and ongoing low mortgage rates. Price volatility also has to be taken into account: in such a speculative market environment macro-economic uncertainty, e.g. on Sino-US trade or on the RMB, can weight on sentiment at any time. Moreover, further regulatory tightening is a threat to the overheated market.
The UBS Global Real Estate Bubble Index score for London declined for the second straight year but remains in the bubble-risk zone. Overall, inflation-adjusted prices are more than 10% higher than in 2007, when the last bubble burst, while rents have stayed roughly stable and real incomes have gone down by 10%.

House prices in London have lagged the nationwide average and dropped by 5% in inflation-adjusted terms since mid-2017. The relative weakness of the city’s housing market can be attributed to a few causes. First, housing remains unaffordable for London’s citizens. Buying a 60m² (650 sqft) flat near the city center costs 15 yearly incomes, which means that government programs to help first-time buyers are less effective in London than elsewhere in the country. Second, the prime segment is hurt by higher stamp duties for luxury and buy-to-let properties. Third, inflation continues to erode the purchasing power of local residents. So market weakness was more pronounced in the prime boroughs.

From the perspective of foreign investors, house prices in USD terms have fallen by 10% since 2015 and could constitute an attractive buying opportunity. We expect prices to stabilize but still advise caution given high market valuations and marked political uncertainty.
Valuations remain stable

Residential market valuation remained virtually unchanged over the past year, with the housing market continuing to occupy moderately overvalued territory, according to the index. Apartment prices in Zurich fell 2% over the last four quarters, slightly less than the nationwide average. Pressure on prices can be attributed to ongoing weak demand for high-end properties. In the lower-tier market, favorable financing conditions and rising incomes are keeping demand for home ownership buoyant, and prices have continued to increase. The relatively strict bank lending rules not only curb price appreciation but limit mortgage growth, which has fallen below income growth in recent quarters.

The housing market is characterized by a relatively fast expansion and renewal of the housing stock. More than 10% of all housing units have been built within the last 10 years – roughly three times more than in Geneva. Recently, construction activity fell off somewhat within the city while accelerating in the agglomerations. The slowly rising vacancy rates for rental apartments lead us to expect that market rents will remain under pressure.

Buying a medium segment property in Zurich only pays off after more than 36 years – tantamount to the lowest rental yields of all cities in this report. So home prices in Zurich are highly sensitive to interest rates: a rate rise has a greater effect on purchase prices when yields are low.
New York

Slipping into the red

New York’s score in the UBS Real Estate Global Bubble Index decreased marginally over the last four quarters, but the city remains slightly overvalued*. Since 2012 inflation-adjusted prices are up by 25%, rents by 15% and incomes by less than 10%. But annual inflation-adjusted prices fell 2% over the last four quarters, the first decline in several years. Demand weakness in the region stems from multiple factors. First, rising mortgage rates have inflated usage costs. Second, net population migration is negative. Finally, passage of last December’s Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 significantly limited the deductibility of state and local taxes for many homeowners and contributed to a higher tax burden.

In Manhattan the market weakness was even more pronounced. Real prices fell 5% compared to the previous year and in the luxury segment even more. The city’s housing market is already one of the world’s most unaffordable – a skilled service worker needs 10 years’ salary to buy a 60m² (650 sqft) flat – so higher financing costs and taxes take a larger toll than they do elsewhere. Moreover, inventories are up as a result of the much greater number of completed units last year, combined with the numerous new building permits issued.

Should the US Federal Reserve continue its tightening policy, prices may fall further in the coming quarters. Luxury units could stay on the market for longer if foreign demand for them continues to wane. This would likely lead to added pressure on new and existing property prices alike.

* We changed the price index for New York City relative to last year. The S&P/Case-Shiller Condo Price Index, in our view, represents the housing market of New York City better than the FHFA index that models the change in single-family house prices.
Singapore

Regulator keeps the market on a leash

Inflation-adjusted prices staged a fulminant recovery in the last four quarters after six years of correction, rising by 9%. Nonetheless, the market remains fairly valued. Prices are 5% below their 2011 peak and the price-income ratio is still shy of its long-term average.

Vacancy rates declined until the middle of this year, but the long-term supply is well-stocked. Housing permits surged in anticipation of the transaction market recovering further after bottoming out in the second half of last year. The surprise regulatory policy tightening announced in July should dampen investor appetite. Additional buyer stamp duties (ABSD) have been targeted specifically at developers to limit land price speculation. Purchasers of investment properties have also been slapped with higher ABSD. So we expect speculative buying to decline and price growth to decelerate by the end of the year. Rising interest rates limit the upside as well. Overall, the consequent stalling of any price rebound by the government prevents the emergence of speculative tendencies in the real estate market.

Private market housing remains barely affordable: the price-to-income ratio for a 60m² (650 sqft) flat is around 12 (public housing, however, represents 80% of the total market). But Singapore is one of this study’s few cities whose affordability has improved over the past decade.
Select cities

**Munich**
Real prices have doubled in the last 10 years and seem to be continuing on an explosive trajectory. Nominal rents jumped 9% last year, reflecting record low vacancies, so affordability goes on deteriorating. Purchasing a 60m² (650 sqft) flat requires of a skilled services employee an all-time high of eight accumulated years of income. Construction has already risen significantly in recent years. Should mortgage rates pick up, a correction seems likely.

**Toronto**
Since the waning of the housing frenzy in the middle of last year, prices have stabilized over the past four quarters. In inflation-adjusted terms, they are 50% higher than five years ago. Last year’s “fair housing plan,” which imposed taxes on foreign purchases and vacant apartments and implemented stricter rent controls, probably contributed to the cooling. Higher mortgage costs and tighter lending standards should limit the upside for the time being. But a short-term weakening of the Canadian dollar may again attract foreign buyers.

**Vancouver**
Imbalances increased again as house prices rose in the past four quarters at a double-digit rate in real terms. Real prices have doubled in 12 years. The imbalances are mitigated somewhat by income growth and above-average rental growth of 5–7% in nominal terms over the last four quarters. As the government tries to contain speculation, the tax burden is rising for high-end property buyers and foreign purchasers. The already strained affordability will become an acute issue if mortgage rates rise further, one that may halt the local market boom.
Amsterdam

In the last four quarters prices climbed by 12% in inflation-adjusted terms. They are now 60% higher than in 2013. Their ongoing explosive growth was fueled by the strongest income increase since 2013 among all cities and attractive financing conditions. The city’s housing price rise has more than doubled nationwide averages in the last five years. Given the highly strained affordability, a tightening of lending conditions might end the boom rather abruptly.

Stockholm

Inflation-adjusted prices climbed 60% between 2007 and 2017, which clearly outpaced income and rental growth. The boom stemmed from marked population growth in the city center area. But prices have been dropping since the middle of last year and are off 7% from their peak, despite continued attractive financing conditions. The correction seems to be the direct consequence of an exaggerated price surge in recent years that strained affordability and triggered stricter amortization requirements.

Paris

House price growth accelerated in recent quarters on the back of attractive financing conditions, improving economic sentiment and “Brexit-gain” fantasies. The market looks increasingly overvalued as incomes and rents stagnate in real terms while prices set all-time highs, leaving Paris with the worst housing affordability in continental Europe. Further significant price increases appear highly unlikely.
San Francisco
In the midst of a thriving local economy, significant non-cash earnings by many technology employees and buoyant foreign demand, real house prices have increased by 80% in the last six years. Price growth has accelerated again in recent quarters, up 12% in the past 12 months. Though city inhabitants enjoy the greatest income growth among residents of the US cities in the study – inflation-adjusted incomes rose by 20% in the last decade – affordability has worsened in both the ownership and rental markets.

Frankfurt
House prices have climbed almost 15% in the last year, far higher than the country average. The rate of price growth accelerated for the fourth year in a row and is clearly not sustainable. Last year Frankfurt exhibited the fastest house price appreciation of the cities in this study. Demand is supported by a dynamic economic environment and prices by a “Brexit gains” narrative. Affordability and price-to-rent multiples still leave scope for more appreciation, but the city is rapidly approaching bubble-risk territory.

Sydney
Prices peaked last summer and have slid moderately since as tighter lending conditions diminished overall affordability. To curb foreign demand, the land tax surcharge has also been more than doubled and a vacancy fee has recently been introduced. So the high end of the market has suffered most. The vacancy rate on the rental market has climbed amid higher supply. Overall, inflation-adjusted prices remain 50% higher than five years ago, while rents and incomes have grown only at a single-digit rate.
Los Angeles
Inflation-adjusted house prices climbed 6% last year and are now 40% higher than in 2013. The prospering economy, particularly in technology, media, entertainment and manufacturing, is fueling demand. The lack of available for-sale supply in many submarkets has exacerbated the overheating. Although inflation-adjusted prices remain 14% below their 2006 peak, housing affordability is stretched and could lead to slower price growth in light of the rise in mortgage rates.

Tokyo
The city’s housing market continues to decouple from the rest of the country’s. Since 2015 prices in Tokyo are up 17%, while they are flat nationwide. The city’s demographic outlook is relatively supportive as its population is expected to go on growing. Low interest rates are also sustaining the local boom, but housing is becoming increasingly unaffordable as income growth lags behind. Buying a 60m² house already requires 11 yearly salaries of a skilled service worker.

Geneva
Home prices in the Lake Geneva region remain lackluster. They have dropped slightly this year, returning to levels of three years ago. Strict lending rules are keeping them in check, as the city exhibits the lowest affordability in Switzerland. So the market has cooled further, in line with the broader Swiss housing market. Yet the city remains undersupplied because construction activity is low and population growth is moderate.

Select cities

![Graphs showing price-income ratio, price-rent ratio, and change in construction/GDP and mortgage/GDP for Los Angeles, Tokyo, and Geneva.](image-url)
**Boston**

House prices have risen roughly in line with the national average despite the better growth of the regional economy and residents' incomes, and are now up 20% since 2015. The city recorded the highest rental growth (+15%) among the US cities in this study over the same time period. Overall, housing remains more affordable than in other financial centers. But slowing population growth and rising mortgage rates could limit price appreciation prospects.

**Milan**

Prices have begun rising moderately in and around the central areas, with the time required to sell properties shortening markedly. Inflation-adjusted prices remain some 30% below their 2007 peak. Despite attractive financing conditions and the best housing affordability among European cities, the housing market recovery is in a very early stage. Unless the political situation in Italy turns more predictable and population growth accelerates, we do not expect demand to improve significantly.

**Chicago**

Inflation-adjusted prices have risen by 15% in real terms since the low point in 2013 but remain almost 30% below their 2006 peak. Inflation-adjusted rents declined last year and incomes stagnated. Declining population, sluggish employment, lackluster economic growth and a challenging fiscal outlook hinder a faster recovery of broad-based housing demand. We expect price growth to continue to lag the national average.
Methodology & data

UBS Global Real Estate Bubble Index
The UBS Global Real Estate Bubble Index traces the fundamental valuation of housing markets, the valuation of cities in relation to their country and economic distortions (lending and building booms). Tracking current values, the Index uses the following risk-based classifications: depressed (score below –1.5), undervalued (–1.5 to –0.5), fair-valued (0.5 to 1.5) and bubble risk (above 1.5). This classification is aligned with historical bubble episodes.

The Index score is a weighted average of the following five standardized city sub-indices: price-to-income and price-to-rent (fundamental valuation), change in mortgage-to-GDP ratio and change in construction-to-GDP ratio (economic distortion) and relative price-city-to-country indicator. The price-city-to-country indicator in Singapore and Hong Kong is replaced by an inflation-adjusted price index. The approach cannot fully satisfy the complexity of the bubble phenomenon. We cannot predict if or when a correction will happen. Hence, “bubble risk” refers to the prevalence of a high risk of a large price correction.

The sub-indices are constructed from specific city-level data, except for mortgage-to-GDP and construction-to-GDP ratios, which are calculated on the country level. Publicly available data is used in most cases. In a few cases the data consists of or is supplemented by additional sources, including the results of the UBS Prices & Earnings survey. The index length varies by city depending on data availability. The longest data series starts in 1975, the shortest in 1990. For time series shorter than 30 years the coefficient of variation of an equivalent indicator on the country-level is used as a floor value to calculate the volatility of the city-level indicator. The availability of data was also a criterion when including the cities in the Index.

We considered the importance of the city for global financial markets and residential real estate investments. Please see the description of data sources on page 23.

The weights of the sub-indices are determined using factor analysis, as recommended by the OECD Handbook on Constructing Composite Indicators (2008). Factor analysis weights the sub-indices to capture as much of the common underlying bubble risk information as possible. As the drivers of bubbles vary across the cities, this method results in city-specific weights on sub-indices. To prevent overweighting country-level variables and to increase the comparability of cities, the deviation from the average weight across all cities is limited. So fixed weights that approximate the average factor-analysis weight of single sub-indices across the cities complement the calculation. The final weights are subject to minor changes when new data enters the calculation or past data is revised.

Benchmarking
The analysis is complemented by a city benchmarking using current price-to-income (PI) and price-to-rent (PR) ratios. The PI ratio indicates how many years a skilled service worker needs to work to be able to buy a 60m² (650 sqft) flat near the city center. The PR ratio reveals how expensive owner-occupied homes are relative to rental apartments. The higher the ratios, the more expensive buying becomes. Earnings data is taken primarily from the UBS Prices and Earnings survey and from official statistical sources. Real estate prices and rents range widely near the city center. Our estimates are cross-checked, validated using different sources and have been updated on an annual basis. However, we also specify an uncertainty range due to the differing quality of our data sources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Price Index (City)</th>
<th>Rent Index (City)</th>
<th>Income Index (City)</th>
<th>Price Index (Country)</th>
<th>Mortgage, Construction, GDP, Inflation (Country)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td>CBS, Maastricht University</td>
<td>NVM, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, CBS</td>
<td>CBS, FED Dallas</td>
<td>DNB, CBS, EUKLEMS, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>FHFA</td>
<td>CBRE, FED St. Louis</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>FHFA</td>
<td>FED, BEA, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>FHFA</td>
<td>CBRE, FED St. Louis</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>FHFA</td>
<td>FED, BEA, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankfurt</td>
<td>Bulwiengesa</td>
<td>Bulwiengesa, OECD</td>
<td>Destatis, UBS P&amp;E, OECD</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
<td>Deutsche Bundesbank, Destatis, EUKLEMS, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva</td>
<td>Wüest Partner</td>
<td>Statistique Genève</td>
<td>FTA, FSO</td>
<td>Wüest Partner</td>
<td>SNB, SECO, BFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>RVD</td>
<td>Census and Statistics Department Hong Kong, Bloomberg</td>
<td>RVD</td>
<td>Census and Statistics Department Hong Kong, HKMA, Macrobond, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>Nationwide, Lloyds Banking Group</td>
<td>ONS, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>Nationwide, Lloyds Banking Group</td>
<td>BoE, ONS, EUKLEMS, Macrobond, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>CBRE, FED St. Louis</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>FED</td>
<td>FED, BEA, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milan</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>Nomisma</td>
<td>Dipartimento delle Finanze, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
<td>Banca d’Italia, Hypostat, Istat, EUKLEMS, Macrobond, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>2017Q4</td>
<td>Bulwiengesa</td>
<td>Bulwiengesa, OECD</td>
<td>Destatis, UBS P&amp;E, OECD</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>FHFA, S&amp;P/Sheiler, Elliman</td>
<td>CBRE, FED St. Louis</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>FED, BEA, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>2018Q1</td>
<td>BIS, CGEDD</td>
<td>CGEDD, Clameur, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>Insee, Bloomberg, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>FHFA</td>
<td>CBRE, FED St. Louis</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>FED, BEA, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>2018Q1</td>
<td>REIA, ABS</td>
<td>REIA, NSW Government, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>ABS, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>ABS, RBA, Macrobond, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo</td>
<td>2018Q1</td>
<td>The Real Estate Transaction Promotion Center, Haver Analytics</td>
<td>Miki Syoji, Official Statistics of Japan</td>
<td>INDB, Tokyo Metropolitan Government, UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>Sauder School of Business UBC, Bloomberg</td>
<td>Canadian Housing Observer, Sauder School of Business UBC</td>
<td>Statistics Canada</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>Sauder School of Business UBC, Bloomberg</td>
<td>Canadian Housing Observer, Sauder School of Business UBC</td>
<td>Statistics Canada, Government of British Columbia</td>
<td>FED Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich</td>
<td>2018Q2</td>
<td>Wüest Partner</td>
<td>Statistik Stadt Zürich</td>
<td>FTA, FSO</td>
<td>Wüest Partner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Benchmarking sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Real Estate (prices and rents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E</td>
<td>Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>Zillow, numbeo.com, CBRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>Zillow, numbeo.com, CBRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankfurt</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Destatis</td>
<td>Bulwiengesa, globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Federal Income Tax Statistics, FSO</td>
<td>Wüest Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Census and Statistics Department Hong Kong</td>
<td>Hong Kong Statistical Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, ONS</td>
<td>GLA datastore, findproperly.co.uk, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>Zillow, numbeo.com, CBRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milan</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Dipartimento delle Finanze</td>
<td>Nomisma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Destatis</td>
<td>Bulwiengesa, globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>Elliman, Zillow, globalpropertyguide.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Insee</td>
<td>Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>Zillow, numbeo.com, CBRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Department of Statistics Singapore, Demographia.com</td>
<td>Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, ABS</td>
<td>Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, INDB, Tokyo Metropolitan Government</td>
<td>Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>Statistics Canada</td>
<td>Canada mortgage and housing corporation (CMHC), Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com, Toronto Real Estate Board, condos.ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>Statistics Canada</td>
<td>Canada mortgage and housing corporation (CMHC), Globalpropertyguide.com, numbeo.com, Real Estate Board of Greater Vancouver, condos.ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich</td>
<td>UBS P&amp;E, Federal Income Tax Statistics, FSO</td>
<td>Wüest Partner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nontraditional Assets

Nontraditional asset classes are alternative investments that include hedge funds, private equity, real estate, and managed futures (collectively, alternative investments). Interests of alternative investment funds are sold only to qualified investors, and only by means of offering documents that include information about the risks, performance and expenses of alternative investment funds, and which clients are urged to read carefully before subscribing and retain. An investment in an alternative investment fund is speculative and involves significant risks. Specifically, these investments (1) are not mutual funds and are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as mutual funds; (2) may have performance that is volatile, and investors may lose all or a substantial amount of their investment; (3) may engage in leverage and other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss; (4) are long-term, illiquid investments; there is generally no secondary market for the interests of a fund, and none is expected to develop; (5) interests of alternative investment funds typically will be illiquid and subject to restrictions on transfer; (6) may not be required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors; (7) generally involve complex tax strategies and there may be delays in distributing tax information to investors; (8) are subject to high fees, including management fees and other fees and expenses, all of which will reduce profits.

Interests in alternative investment funds are not deposits or obligations of, or guaranteed or endorsed by, any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board, or any other governmental agency. Prospective investors should understand these risks and have the financial ability and willingness to accept them for an extended period of time before making an investment in an alternative investment fund, and should consider an alternative investment fund as a supplement to an overall investment program.

In addition to the risks that apply to alternative investments generally, the following are additional risks related to an investment in these strategies:

Real Estate: There are risks specifically associated with investing in real estate products and real estate investment trusts. They involve risks associated with debt, adverse changes in general economic or local market conditions, changes in governmental, tax, real estate and zoning laws or regulations, risks associated with capital calls and, for some real estate products, the risks associated with the ability to qualify for favorable treatment under the federal tax laws.

Investing in Emerging Markets

Investors should be aware that Emerging Market assets are subject to, amongst others, potential risks linked to currency volatility, abrupt changes in the cost of capital and the economic growth outlook, as well as regulatory and socio-political risk, interest rate risk and higher credit risk. Assets can sometimes be very illiquid and liquidity conditions can abruptly worsen.
Disclaimer

Research publications from Chief Investment Office Global Wealth Management, formerly known as CIO Americas, Wealth Management, are published by UBS Global Wealth Management, a Business Division of UBS AG or an affiliate thereof (collectively, UBS). In certain countries UBS AG is referred to as UBS SA. This publication is for your information only and is not intended as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investment or other specific product. The analysis contained herein does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, investment strategies, financial situation and needs of any specific recipient. It is based on numerous assumptions. Different assumptions could result in materially different results. We recommend that you obtain financial and/or tax advice as to the implications (including tax) of investing in the manner described or in any of the products mentioned herein. Certain services and products are subject to legal restrictions and cannot be offered worldwide on an unrestricted basis and/or may not be eligible for sale to all investors. All information and opinions expressed in this document were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to its accuracy or completeness (other than disclosures relating to UBS). All information and opinions as well as any prices indicated are current only as of the date of this report, and are subject to change without notice. Opinions expressed herein may differ or be contrary to those expressed by other business areas or divisions of UBS as a result of using different assumptions and/or criteria. At any time, investment decisions (including whether to buy, sell or hold securities) made by UBS and its employees may differ from or be contrary to the opinions expressed in UBS research publications. Some investments may not be readily realizable since the market in the securities is illiquid and therefore valuing the investment and identifying the risk to which you are exposed may be difficult to quantify. UBS relies on information barriers to control the flow of information contained in one or more areas within UBS, into other areas, units, divisions or affiliates of UBS. Futures and options trading is considered risky. Past performance of an investment is no guarantee for its future performance. Some investments may be subject to sudden and large falls in value and on realization you may receive back less than you invested or may be required to pay more. Changes in FX rates may have an adverse effect on the price, value or income of an investment. This report is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable law.

Distributed to US persons by UBS Financial Services Inc. or UBS Securities LLC, subsidiaries of UBS AG. UBS Switzerland AG, UBS Deutschland AG, UBS Bank, S.A., UBS Brasil Administradora de Valores Mobiliarios Ltda, UBS Asesores Mexico, S.A. de C.V., UBS Securities Japan Co., Ltd, UBS Wealth Management Israel Ltd and UBS Menkul Degerler AS are affiliates of UBS AG. UBS Financial Services Incorporated of Puerto Rico is a subsidiary of UBS Financial Services Inc. UBS Financial Services Inc. accepts responsibility for the content of a report prepared by a non-US affiliate when it distributes reports to US persons. All transactions by a US person in the securities mentioned in this report should be effected through a US-registered broker dealer affiliated with UBS, and not through a non-US affiliate. The contents of this report have not been and will not be approved by any securities or investment authority in the United States or elsewhere. UBS Financial Services Inc. is not acting as a municipal advisor to any municipal entity or obligated person within the meaning of Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act (the “Municipal Advisor Rule”) and the opinions or views contained herein are not intended to be, and do not constitute, advice within the meaning of the Municipal Advisor Rule.

UBS specifically prohibits the redistribution or reproduction of this material in whole or in part without the prior written permission of UBS. UBS accepts no liability whatsoever for any redistribution of this document or its contents by third parties.
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