

UBS Bank (Canada) 154 University Avenue Toronto, ON M5H 3Z4

Telephone: 1-800-268-9709

www.ubs.com

UBS Bank (Canada) Basel Pillar III Disclosures Calendar Year 2012

CCID Corporate Identifier: 89266472



Table of Contents

1.	Background	3
2.	Disclosures	4
	Table 1. Scope of application	4
	Table 2. Capital structure	
	Table 3. Capital adequacy	7
	Table 4 . Credit risk: general disclosures for all banks	9
	Table 5. Credit risk: disclosures for portfolios subject to the standardized approach and	
	supervisory risk weights in the IRB approaches	13
	Table 6. Credit risk: disclosures for portfolios subject to IRB approaches	14
	Table 7. Credit risk mitigation: disclosures for standardised and IRB approaches,	16
	Table 8. General disclosure for exposures related to counterparty credit risk	18
	Table 9. Securitization: disclosure for standardised and IRB approaches	20
	Table 10. Market risk: disclosures for banks using the standardised approach	22
	Table 11. Market risk: disclosures for banks using the internal models approach (IMA) for	
	trading portfolios	23
	Table 12. Operational risk	24
	Table 13. Equities: disclosures for banking book positions	25
	Table 14. Interest rate risk in the banking book	26
	Table 15. Remuneration	28
	Table 16. Interim Public Capital Disclosure Requirements related to Basel III Pillar 3	33



1. Background

The Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions ('OSFI') advisory on Basel Pillar 3 Disclosure Requirements sets out the disclosure requirements for financial institutions operating under the Basel framework. The Pillar 3 disclosures are designed to complement the minimum capital requirements in Pillar 1 and the supervisory review process in Pillar 2. The aim of Pillar 3 is to promote market discipline by allowing market participants to access information on risk exposures and risk management policies and processes adopted by UBS Bank (Canada) ('the Bank').

OSFI advisory for the disclosures required under Pillar 3 are contained in the OSFI Pillar 3 Roadmap. The following disclosures are made in conformance with the Pillar 3 Roadmap. All quantitative disclosures are made in \$ '000 CAD, unless otherwise indicated.



2. Disclosures

Table 1. Scope of application

(a) The name of the top corporate entity in the group to which the Framework applies.

UBS Bank (Canada), referred to herein as ("the Bank").

- (b) An outline of differences in the basis of consolidation for accounting and regulatory purposes, with a brief description of the entities within the group:
 - (i) that are fully consolidated;

The Bank has a fully owned subsidiary UBS Investment Management Canada Inc. ("UBSIM"). UBSIM is an OSC registrant as a Portfolio Manager and Exempt Market Dealer.

(ii) that are pro-rata consolidated;

None.

(iii) that are given a deduction treatment;

None.

(iv) from which surplus capital is recognised 125 plus

None.

(v) that are neither consolidated nor deducted (e.g. where the investment is risk-weighted).

None.

(c) Any restrictions, or other major impediments, on transfer of funds or regulatory capital within the group.

The Bank does not have any restrictions, or other major impediments, on transfer of funds or regulatory capital to UBSIM.

(d) The aggregate amount of surplus capital of insurance subsidiaries (whether deducted or subjected to an alternative method) included in the capital of the consolidated group.

The Bank does not have any insurance subsidiaries.



(e) The aggregate amount of capital deficiencies in all subsidiaries not included in the consolidation i.e. that are deducted and the name(s) of such subsidiaries.

Not applicable.

(f) The aggregate amounts (e.g. current book value) of the firm's total interests in insurance entities, which are risk-weighted rather than deducted from capital or subjected to an alternate group-wide method, as well as their name, their country of incorporation or residence, the proportion of ownership interest and, if different, the proportion of voting power in these entities. In addition, indicate the quantitative impact on regulatory capital of using this method versus using the deduction or alternate group-wide method.



Table 2. Capital structure

(a) Summary information on the terms and conditions of the main features of all capital instruments, especially in the case of innovative, complex or hybrid capital instruments.

The Bank's capital is comprised of Tier 1 capital which is made up of common shares, contributed surplus, Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ["AOCI"] and accumulated deficit.

- (b) The amount of Tier 1 capital, with separate disclosure of:
 - paid-up share capital/common stock;
 - · reserves:
 - minority interests in the equity of subsidiaries;
 - innovative instruments;
 - · other capital instruments;
 - surplus capital from insurance companies;
 - regulatory calculation differences deducted from Tier 1 capital; and
 - other amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital, including goodwill and investments.

The breakdown of capital is as follows:

Description	December 31, 2012 \$	December 31, 2011 \$
Common shares	8,485	8,485
Contributed surplus	154,713	154,713
Accumulated deficit	(53,076)	(53,245)
Accumulated Other comprehensive income	(1)	(2)
Total capital (Tier 1)	110,121	109,951

(c) The total amount of tier 2 and tier 3 capital.

The Bank does not have any tier 2 or tier 3 capital.

(d) Other deductions from capital.

The Bank does not have any other deductions under Basel II. Deductions under Basel will be reflected in line with the transitional provisions.

(e) Total eligible capital

\$110,121k as above.



Table 3. Capital adequacy

(a) A summary discussion of the Bank's approach to assessing the adequacy of its capital to support current and future activities.

The Bank's capital management framework is designed with the objective to optimize the capital such that a strong capital position is maintained; target regulatory capital ratios are met; excess capital remains to support the Bank's business strategies; and long-term shareholder value is built.

- (b) Capital requirements for credit risk:
 - Portfolios subject to standardized or simplified standardized approach, disclosed separately for each portfolio;

The Bank utilizes the standardized approach to Credit Risk. The risk weighting of the client loan portfolio also uses Credit Risk Mitigation techniques.

- Portfolios subject to the IRB approaches, disclosed separately for each portfolio under the foundation IRB approach and for each portfolio under the advanced IRB approach:
 - Corporate (including SL not subject to supervisory slotting criteria), sovereign and bank;
 - Residential mortgage;
 - Qualifying revolving retail; and
 - · Other retail;
- Securitization exposures

The Bank does not use the IRB approach, it uses the standardized approach.

- (c) Capital requirements for equity exposures in the IRB approach:
 - Equity portfolios subject to the market-based approaches;
 - Equity portfolios subject to simple risk weight method; and
 - Equities in the banking book under the internal models approach (for banks using IMA for banking book equity exposures)
 - Equity portfolios subject to PD/LGD approaches

The Bank does not use the IRB approach, it uses the standardized approach.



(d) Capital requirements for market risk:

Standardized approach;

As the Bank does not have a trading book, no capital is required to be calculated for this category as the Market Risk exposure is minimal. The Bank does not trade on its own account and therefore does not create any material market risk requirements.

Internal models approach – Trading book.

The Bank does not have a proprietary trading book.

(e) Capital requirements for operational risk:

· Basic indicator approach;

For capital underpinning, the Bank uses the simplified approach for Operational Risk, which is called the Basic Indicator Approach. Under this approach, a percentage of the previous three years' average gross income is used to calculate the capital charge.

The calculation is based on the average of the last 3 years income of the Bank multiplied by 15%:

The December 31, 2012 operational risk capital requirement is:

\$3,182 (December 31, 2011: \$3,273)

Standardized approach;

Not applicable.

Advanced measurement approach (AMA).

Not applicable.

- (f) Total and Tier 1 capital ratio:
 - For the top consolidated group; and

69.1% (2011: 65.2%)

 For significant bank subsidiaries (stand alone or sub-consolidated depending on how the Framework is applied)



Table 4. Credit risk: general disclosures for all banks

- (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirements with respect to credit risk, including:
 - Definitions of past due and impaired (for accounting purposes);
 - Description of approaches followed for specific and general allowances and statistical methods;
 - Discussion of the Bank's credit risk management policy;

Credit risk is the potential risk of loss resulting from client or counterparty default and arises on credit exposure in all forms, including settlement risk.

The Bank classifies a loan as impaired when, in the opinion of management, there is significant doubt as to collectability, either in whole or in part, of principal or interest. Loans for which interest is contractually past due 90 days are automatically classified as impaired, unless management determines that there is no reasonable doubt as to the ultimate collectability of principal and interest.

The allowance for credit losses account consists of allowances assessed on an individual basis, being provisions against individual credit exposures determined on an item-by-item basis, and allowances assessed on a collective basis, being provisions of a collective nature which cannot be determined on an item-by-item basis, but where a provision should be made due to incurred loss events of which there is objective evidence, whose effects are not yet evident. The balance in the allowance for credit losses account is deducted from the related asset category, except for any provisions against acceptances and off-balance sheet items which will be included in other liabilities.

The provision for credit losses charged to income is the amount required to establish a balance in the allowance for credit losses account which management considers adequate to absorb all credit related losses in its portfolio of on- and off-balance sheet items

The Bank extends uncommitted and secured loan limits, foreign exchange forward limits and precious metal forward limits to high net worth individuals and their investment companies. The Bank is exposed to the credit risk of the related borrowers.

As at December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank did not have any past due or impaired loans or any material shortfall in the collateral lending values. There was no indication of any material deficiency in the loan book as credit exposures remained fully secured by eligible collateral.

 For banks that have partly, but not fully adopted either the foundation IRB or the advanced IRB approach, a description of the nature of exposures within each portfolio that are subject to the 1) standardized, 2) foundation IRB, and 3) advanced IRB approaches and of management's plans and timing for migrating exposures to full implementation of the applicable approach



The Bank does not follow the IRB approach.

(b) Total gross credit risk exposures, plus average gross exposure over the period broken down by major types of credit exposure

The gross credit risk exposures broadly comprise client loan portfolio and deposits with other banks (including Banker's Acceptances).

The period end risk position is representative of the average position over the period.

Deposits with other banks include both non-interest bearing and interest bearing balances including BAs classified as Available for Sale (AFS) at fair value. Interest bearing deposits pay interest at fixed rates and have maturities of up to two years. An analysis of deposits with other banks by type of exposure is as follows:

	December 31,	Dece	December 31,	
	2012		2011	
	\$	\$		
Non-interest bearing	36,522		18,728	
Interest bearing	299,017		193,160	
	335,539	2	211,888	

The composition of the loan portfolio, net of the allowance for credit losses, by type of exposure is as follows:

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	\$		\$	
Individuals	12	27,900	16	7,790
Private corporations related to individuals	:	85,876	6	3,879
Total loans, net of allowance for credit losses	2	13,776	23	1,669

(c) Geographic distribution of exposures, broken down in significant areas by major types of credit exposure

The composition of the deposits with other banks by geographical region is as follows:

	December 31, 2012 \$	December 31, 2011
Canada International	242,949	113,364
Americas [excluding Canada] Europe	998 90,963	8,874 79,966



Other	629	9,684
	335,539	211,888

The composition of the loan portfolio by geographical region based on location of the borrower is as follows:

	December 31, 2012 \$	December 31, 2011
Canada	201,310	211,689
International Americas [excluding Canada]	2,065	1,040
Europe	8,238	12,635
Other	2,163	6,305
	213,776	231,669

(d) Industry or counterparty type distribution of exposures, broken down by major types of credit exposure

Please refer to section 4(b) above.

(e) Residual contractual maturity breakdown of the whole portfolio, broken down by major types of credit exposure

The following table provides an analysis of the Bank's interest rate sensitivity position at December 31, 2012 based on maturity and repricing dates of assets and liabilities:

	Variable rate \$	Within 3 months \$	3 to 6 months	6 to 12 months	Non- interest sensitive \$	Total \$
Cash and deposits with banks		299,018			36,644	335,662
Loans, net	2,766	198,830	8,925	3,255	30,044	213,776
Other assets	,	1,077	1,928	3,214	9,715	15,934
Total assets	2,766	498,925	10,853	6,469	46,359	565,372

(f) By major industry or counterparty type:

- Amount of impaired loans and if available, past due loans, provided separately;
- Specific and general allowances; and
- Charges for specific allowances and charge-offs during the period

The Bank did not have any impaired loans as at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Bank also has assessed the loan portfolio and determined that no allowance for credit loss was required as at December 31, 2012 and 2011.



(g) Amount of impaired loans and, if available, past due loans provided separately broken down by significant geographic areas including, if practical, the amounts of specific and general allowances related to each geographical area

Not applicable.

(h) Reconciliation of changes in the allowances for loan impairment

Not applicable.

(i) For each portfolio, the amount of exposures (for IRB banks, drawn plus EAD on undrawn) subject to the 1) standardised, 2) foundation IRB, and 3) advanced IRB approaches

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	\$	\$
Total deposits with banks	335,539	211,888
Total loans, net of allowance for credit losses	213,776	231,669



Table 5. Credit risk: disclosures for portfolios subject to the standardized approach and supervisory risk weights in the IRB approaches

(a) For portfolios under the standardised approach:

- Names of ECAIs and ECAs used, plus reasons for any changes;
- · Types of exposure for which each agency is used;
 - A description of the process used to transfer public issue ratings onto comparable assets in the banking book; and
 - The alignment of the alphanumerical scale of each agency used with risk buckets.

All exposures subject to the Standardized Approach are risk weighted based on the exposure class and the external credit assessment associated with the exposure.

External ratings by S&P are used to risk weight the exposure to third party banks.

(b)

• For exposure amounts after risk mitigation subject to the standardised approach, amount of a bank's outstandings (rated and unrated) in each risk bucket as well as those that are deducted; and

	Gross Exposure	CRM (Comprehensive Approach)	Net Exposure
Deposits with other Banks	\$335,539	NIL	\$335,539
Drawn:	\$213,776	\$176,766	\$37,010
OTC Derivatives	\$3,559	NIL	\$3,559
Other off balance sheet Positive replacement value of Foreign Exchange	\$497	NIL	\$497
Commodity Contracts	\$6,219	NIL	\$6,219

 For exposures subject to the supervisory risk weights in IRB (HVCRE, any SL products subject to supervisory slotting criteria and equities under the simple risk weight method) the aggregate amount of a bank's outstandings in each risk bucket.



Table 6. Credit risk: disclosures for portfolios subject to IRB approaches

(a) Supervisor's acceptance of approach/ supervisory approved transition

Not applicable.

- (b) Explanation and review of the:
 - structure of internal rating systems and relation between internal and external ratings;
 - · use of internal estimates other than for IRB capital purposes;
 - process for managing and recognising credit risk mitigation; and
 - control mechanisms for the rating system including discussion of independence, accountability, and rating systems review.

Not applicable.

- (c) Description of the internal ratings process, provided separately for five distinct portfolios:
 - Corporate (including SMEs, specialised lending and purchased corporate receivables), sovereign and bank;
 - · Equities;
 - · Residential mortgages;
 - · Qualifying revolving retail; and
 - Other retail

Not applicable.

The description should include, for each portfolio:

- the types of exposure included in the portfolio;
- the definitions, methods and data for estimation and validation of PD, and (for portfolios subject to the IRB advanced approach) LGD and/or EAD, including assumptions employed in the derivation of these variables; and
- description of deviations as permitted under paragraph 456 and footnote 82 from the reference definition of default where determined to be material including the broad segments of the portfolio(s) affected by such deviations.

- (d) For each portfolio (as defined above) except retail, present the following information across a sufficient number of PD grades (including default) to allow for a meaningful differentiation of credit risk:
 - Total exposures (for corporate, sovereign and bank, outstanding loans and EAD on undrawn commitments, for equities, outstanding amount);
 - For banks on the IRB advanced approach, exposure-weighted average LGD (percentage); and



· Exposure weighted-average risk-weight.

Not applicable.

For banks on the IRB advanced approach, amount of undrawn commitments and exposure-weighted average EAD for each portfolio;

Not applicable.

For each retail portfolio (as defined above), either:

- Disclosures as outlined above on a pool basis (i.e. same as for non-retail portfolios); or
- Analysis of exposures on a pool basis (outstanding loans and EAD on commitments) against a sufficient number of EL grades to allow for a meaningful differentiation of credit risk

Not applicable.

(e) Actual losses (e.g. charge-offs and specific provisions) in the preceding period for each portfolio (as defined above) and how this differs from past experience. A discussion of the factors that impacted on the loss experience in the preceding period – for example, has the bank experienced higher than average default rates, or higher than average LGDs and EADs

Not applicable.

(f) Banks' estimates against actual outcomes over a longer period. At a minimum, this should include information on estimates of losses against actual losses in each portfolio (as defined above) over a period sufficient to allow for a meaningful assessment of the performance of the internal rating processes for each portfolio. Where appropriate, banks should further decompose this to provide analysis of PD and, for banks on the advanced IRB approach, LGD and EAD outcomes against estimates provided in the quantitative risk assessment disclosures above.



Table 7. Credit risk mitigation: disclosures for standardised and IRB approaches,

- (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to credit risk mitigation including:
 - policies and processes for, and an indication of the extent to which the bank makes use of, on- and off-balance sheet netting;

The Bank does not use on or off-balance sheet netting.

policies and processes for collateral valuation and management;

Credit management policy

In general, the Bank adopts the global credit policies of its Parent bank as its guidance and the credit exposure is monitored on a daily basis through an independent credit risk control function. Borrowers are required to maintain sufficient lending value to cover the exposure and are subject to margin calls from the Bank.

The lending value of the eligible collateral and the haircuts applied thereon are determined at the sole discretion of the Bank. The credit quality of other financial assets is managed by the Bank by investing in financial institutions rated A+ or higher. For determining the credit rating, Standard & Poor's rating scale is used. For 2012 and 2011, there has been no material change in lending policies or practices from the previous periods.

· a description of the main types of collateral taken by the bank;

The composition of the loan portfolio by type of collateral is as follows:

	December 31, 2012 \$	December 31, 2011
Cash, bank guarantees and letters of credit Marketable securities	2,766 211,010	5,428 226,241
	213,776	231,669

the main types of guarantor/credit derivative counterparty and their creditworthiness:

The Bank does not use credit derivatives in mitigating credit risk in view of the offering of secured credit line.



The Bank will accept guarantees from the related party of the borrower. The guarantors are required to maintain sufficient lending value to cover the exposure and are subject to margin calls from the Bank.

• information about (market or credit) risk concentrations within the mitigation taken

The credit exposure is mostly secured by well diversified portfolios comprised of cash, equities, mutual funds, fixed income and structured products.

- (b) For each separately disclosed credit risk portfolio under the standardised and/or foundation IRB approach, the total exposure (after, where applicable, on- or off-balance sheet netting) that is covered by:
 - eligible financial collateral; and

\$176,766k eligible financial collateral.

· other eligible IRB collateral;

The Bank does not use the IRB approach.

after the application of haircuts.

(c) For each separately disclosed portfolio under the standardised and/or IRB approach, the total exposure (after, where applicable, on- or off-balance sheet netting) that is covered by guarantees/credit derivatives.

Please see table 5b above.



Table 8. General disclosure for exposures related to counterparty credit risk

- (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to derivatives and CCR, including:
 - Discussion of methodology used to assign economic capital and credit limits for counterparty credit exposures

Counterparty Credit exposure arises from the placement of client deposits with third party banks. The Bank manages this exposure by investing client deposits with such banks having a credit rating of AA- or better, for this purpose S&P's ratings are used. For operational flexibility, the Bank permits investments with counterparty's rate A+ capped at \$125 million CAD in aggregate. The individual counterparty exposure is required to be maintained within 25% to 30% range of gross exposure. Counterparty credit exposures on loan portfolios are unrated and managed in terms of the Bank's credit management policy explained in table 7(a) above.

Discussion of policies for securing collateral and establishing credit reserves

Credit risk exposure

The Bank accepts the following financial instruments as collateral:

- Eligible marketable collateral defined as assets [such as cash, precious metals and money market instruments] and securities [such as bonds and equities], which are liquid, negotiable, actively traded and for which current prices are available;
- Eligible non-marketable collateral [such as standby letters of credit issued by acceptable third-party providers] as pledged collateral to secure the credit exposure of the borrower.
- Discussion of policies with respect to wrong-way risk exposures

The Bank does not have any wrong-way risk exposures

 Discussion of the impact of the amount of collateral the bank would have to provide given a credit rating downgrade

The Bank does not actively borrow from external sources.

(b) Gross positive fair value of contracts, netting benefits, netted current credit exposure, collateral held (including type, e.g. cash, government securities, etc.), and net derivatives credit exposure. Also report measures for exposure at default, or exposure amount, under the IMM, SM or CEM, whichever is applicable. The



notional value of credit derivative hedges, and the distribution of current credit exposure by types of credit exposure.

The Bank does not use netting. Please see the below table as above in table 5B for the gross positive fair values per exposure type.

	Gross Exposure	CRM (Comprehensive Approach)	Net Exposure
Deposits with other Banks	\$335,539	NIL	\$335,539
Drawn:	\$213,776	\$176,766	\$37,010
OTC Derivatives	\$3,559	NIL	\$3,559
Other off balance sheet	\$497	NIL	\$497
Positive replacement value of Foreign Exchange			
Commodity Contracts	\$6,219	NIL	\$6,219

(c) Credit derivative transactions that create exposures to CCR (notional value), segregated between use for the institution's own credit portfolio, as well as in its intermediation activities, including the distribution of the credit derivatives products used, broken down further by protection bought and sold within each product group.

The Bank does not use credit derivatives.

(d) The estimate of alpha if the bank has received supervisory approval to estimate alpha.



Table 9. Securitization: disclosure for standardised and IRB approaches

- (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement (paragraph 824) with respect to securitisation (including synthetics), including a discussion of:
 - the bank's objectives in relation to securitisation activity, including the extent to which these activities transfer credit risk of the underlying securitised exposures away from the bank to other entities;
 - the roles played by the bank in the securitisation process and an indication of the extent of the bank's involvement in each of them; and
 - the regulatory capital approaches (e.g. RBA, IAA and SFA) that the bank follows for its securitisation activities.
- (b) Summary of the bank's accounting policies for securitisation activities, including:
 - whether the transactions are treated as sales or financings;
 - · recognition of gain on sale;
 - key assumptions for valuing retained interests, including any significant changes since the last reporting period and the impact of such changes; and
 - treatment of synthetic securitisations if this is not covered by other accounting policies (e.g. on derivatives).
- (c) Names of ECAIs used for securitisations and the types of securitisation exposure for which each agency is used.
- (d) The total outstanding exposures securitised by the bank and subject to the securitisation framework (broken down into traditional/synthetic), by exposure type
- (e) For exposures securitised by the bank and subject to the securitisation framework:
 - amount of impaired/past due assets securitized
 - losses recognised by the bank during the current period broken down by exposure type.
- (f) Aggregate amount of securitisation exposures retained or purchased broken down by exposure type
- (g) Aggregate amount of securitisation exposures retained or purchased172 and the associated IRB capital charges for these exposures broken down into a meaningful number of risk weight bands. Exposures that have been deducted entirely from Tier 1 capital, credit enhancing I/Os deducted from Total Capital, and other exposures deducted from total capital should be disclosed separately by type of underlying asset.
- (h) For securitisations subject to the early amortisation treatment, the following items by underlying asset type for securitised facilities:



- the aggregate drawn exposures attributed to the seller's and investors' interests;
- the aggregate IRB capital charges incurred by the bank against its retained (i.e. the seller's) shares of the drawn balances and undrawn lines; and
- the aggregate IRB capital charges incurred by the bank against the investor's shares of drawn balances and undrawn lines.
- (i) Banks using the standardised approach are also subject to disclosures (g) and (h), but should use the capital charges for the standardised approach.
- (j) Summary of current year's securitisation activity, including the amount of exposures securitised (by exposure type), and recognised gain or loss on sale by asset type.

The entirety of table 9 is not applicable to the Bank.



Table 10. Market risk: disclosures for banks using the standardised approach

(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement for market risk including the portfolios covered by the standardised approach

The Bank does not trade on its own account and therefore does not create material market risk requirements in this respect.

(b) The capital requirements for:

- interest rate risk
- equity position risk
 - foreign exchange risk
- commodity risk

The Bank is authorized to take minimal Interest Rate, Foreign Exchange and Precious Metal Risks, within prudent risk guidelines as approved by the Board, in order to facilitate the efficient management of the balance sheet. As a general principle, the Bank will operate, to the extent practically possible, on a risk-neutral basis, and always within approved limits. The Board reviews and approves the Bank's Risk Management policies and procedures on an annual basis. No specific capital is attributed to market risk.



Table 11. Market risk: disclosures for banks using the internal models approach (IMA) for trading portfolios

- (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement for market risk including the portfolios covered by the IMA. In addition, a discussion of the extent of and methodologies for compliance with the "Prudent valuation guidance" for positions held in the trading book
- (b) The discussion should include an articulation of the soundness standards on which the bank's internal capital adequacy assessment is based. It should also include a description of the methodologies used to achieve a capital adequacy assessment that is consistent with the soundness standards.
- (c) For each portfolio covered by the IMA:
 - · the characteristics of the models used;
 - a description of stress testing applied to the portfolio; and
 - a description of the approach used for back testing/validating the accuracy and consistency of the internal models and modeling processes
- (d) The scope of acceptance by the supervisor.
- (e) For the incremental risk capital charge and the comprehensive risk capital charge the methodologies used and the risks measured through the use of internal models. Included in the qualitative description should be:
 - the approach used by the bank to determine liquidity horizons;
 - the methodologies used to achieve a capital assessment that is consistent with the required soundness standard; and
 - the approaches used in the validation of the models.
- (f) For trading portfolios under the IMA:
 - The high, mean and low VaR values over the reporting period and period-end
 - The high, mean and low stressed VaR values over the reporting period and period-end
 - The high, mean and low incremental and comprehensive risk capital charges over the reporting period and period-end
 - A comparison of VaR estimates with actual gains/losses experienced by the bank, with analysis of important "outliers" in back test results

The entirety of section 11 is not applicable to the Bank.



Table 12. Operational risk

(a) In addition to the general qualitative disclosure requirement, the approach (es) for operational risk capital assessment for which the bank qualifies.

For capital underpinning, the Bank uses the simplified approach for Operational Risk, which is called the Basic Indicator Approach. Under this approach, a percentage of the previous three years' average gross income is used to calculate the capital charge. This calculation is in Table 3(e) above.

(b) Description of the AMA, if used by the bank, including a discussion of relevant internal and external factors considered in the bank's measurement approach. In the case of partial use, the scope and coverage of the different approaches used.

AMA is not used by the Bank.

(c) For banks using the AMA, a description of the use of insurance for the purpose of mitigating operational risk.



Table 13. Equities: disclosures for banking book positions

- (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement (paragraph 824) with respect to equity risk, including:
 - differentiation between holdings on which capital gains are expected and those taken under other objectives including for relationship and strategic reasons
 - discussion of important policies covering the valuation and accounting of equity holdings in the banking book. This includes the accounting techniques and valuation methodologies used, including key assumptions and practices affecting valuation as well as significant changes in these practices
- (b) Value disclosed in the balance sheet of investments, as well as the fair value of those investments; for quoted securities, a comparison to publicly quoted share values where the share price is materially different from fair value
- (c) The types and nature of investments, including the amount that can be classified as:
 - Publicly traded
 - Privately held
- (d) The cumulative realised gains (losses) arising from sales and liquidations in the reporting period
- (e) Total unrealised gains (losses)
 - Total latent revaluation gains (losses)
 - any amounts of the above included in Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 capital.
- (f) Capital requirements broken down by appropriate equity groupings, consistent with the bank's methodology, as well as the aggregate amounts and the type of equity investments subject to any supervisory transition or grandfathering provisions regarding regulatory capital requirements.

The entirety of section 13 is not applicable to the Bank.



Table 14. Interest rate risk in the banking book

(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement, including the nature of IRRBB and key assumptions, including assumptions regarding loan prepayments and behaviour of non-maturity deposits, and frequency of IRRBB measurement

Interest rate risk is the impact that changes in interest rates could have on the Bank's margins, earnings and economic value. The Bank is authorized to take interest rate risks, within prudent risk guidelines as approved by the BoD, in order to facilitate the efficient management of the balance sheet. As a general principle, the Bank will operate, to the extent practically possible, on a risk-neutral basis, and always within approved limits. The BoD reviews and approves the Bank's Interest Rate Risk Management policies and procedures on an annual basis.

(b) The increase (decline) in earnings or economic value (or relevant measure used by management) for upward and downward rate shocks according to management's method for measuring IRRBB, broken down by currency (as relevant)

The Bank's relevant reporting currency is \$ CAD.

Based on the Bank's maturity and repricing portfolio as at December 31, 2012, an immediate annualized 2% increase in the interest rates across all maturities, excluding non-interest sensitive assets and liabilities, would increase net income by approximately \$6.2 million [2011 – 5.0 million] over the next 12 months, and increase shareholder's equity by approximately \$6.2 million [2011 - \$5.0 million].

Correspondingly, an immediate annualized 2% decrease in the interest rates across all maturities, excluding non-interest sensitive assets and liabilities, would decrease net income by approximately \$3.5 million [2011 - \$3.1 million] over the next 12 months, and decrease shareholder's equity by approximately \$3.5 million [2011 - \$3.1 million].

(c) Value disclosed in the balance sheet of investments, as well as the fair value of those investments; for quoted securities, a comparison to publicly quoted share values where the share price is materially different from fair value

The Bank has investments in Banker's Acceptances ("BAs"). As at December 31, 2012 the carrying amount for BAs was \$59,941 [2011 - \$69,942].

- (d) The types and nature of investments, including the amount that can be classified as:
 - Publicly traded; and
 - Privately held.

All BAs have maturities of less than one year and are traded over the counter ("OTC").



(e) The cumulative realised gains (losses) arising from sales and liquidations in the reporting period

Investments in BAs is short term in nature and realized gains and losses if any, are booked to interest income.

- (f) Total unrealised gains (losses)
 - Total latent revaluation gains (losses)
 - any amounts of the above included in Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 capital.

The unrealized gain on BAs was \$1 in 2012 [2011 – unrealized loss of (\$2)].

(g) Capital requirements broken down by appropriate equity groupings, consistent with the bank's methodology, as well as the aggregate amounts and the type of equity investments subject to any supervisory transition or grandfathering provisions regarding regulatory capital requirements

The Bank does not engage in equity trading.



Table 15. Remuneration

The Bank is subject to the Parent Company ("UBSAG")'s compensation philosophy, policies and processes. UBSAG publishes an annual Compensation Report which covers points relevant for the disclosures required by OSFI under this table. Please refer to the attached UBS Compensation report. The relevant pages of this report have been indicated in each section below.

http://www.ubs.com/global/en/about ubs/investor relations/annualreporting/2012.html

- (a) Information relating to the bodies that oversee remuneration. Disclosures should include:
 - Name, composition and mandate of the main body overseeing remuneration

Pages 6 & 7 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

• External consultants whose advice has been sought, the body by which they were commissioned, and in what areas of the remuneration process

Pages 6 & 7 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

 A description of the scope of the bank's remuneration policy (eg by regions, business lines), including the extent to which it is applicable to foreign subsidiaries and branches

Pages 8 to 24 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

 A description of the types of employees considered as material risk takers and as senior managers, including the number of employees in each group

None of the Canadian employees are identified as material risk takers. Pages & 16 -17 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

(b) Information relating to the design and structure of remuneration processes.

Disclosures should include:

An overview of the key features and objectives of remuneration policy



Pages 11 to 15 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

• Whether the remuneration committee reviewed the firm's remuneration policy during the past year, and if so, an overview of any changes that were made

Pages 2 & 3 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

 A discussion of how the bank ensures that risk and compliance employees are remunerated independently of the businesses they oversee

The risk and compliance bonus pool are independent of the market bonus pool. Page 9 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

- (c) Description of the ways in which current and future risks are taken into account in the remuneration processes. Disclosures should include:
 - An overview of the key risks that the bank takes into account when implementing remuneration measures

Pages 7 & 9 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

 An overview of the nature and type of the key measures used to take account of these risks, including risks difficult to measure (values need not be disclosed)

Pages 7 & 9 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

• A discussion of the ways in which these measures affect remuneration

Pages 7 & 9 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

 A discussion of how the nature and type of these measures has changed over the past year and reasons for the change, as well as the impact of changes on remuneration

Pages 7 & 9 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..



- (d) Description of the ways in which the bank seeks to link performance during a performance measurement period with levels of remuneration. Disclosures should include:
 - An overview of main performance metrics for bank, top-level business lines and individuals

Pages 12 to 14 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

 A discussion of how amounts of individual remuneration are linked to bankwide and individual performance

Pages 14 & 20 to 24 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

• A discussion of the measures the bank will in general implement to adjust remuneration in the event that performance metrics are weak

The Bank's bonus pool is 100% discretionary. Management has the option to adjust the bonus pool based on performance.

The Bank's client advisors have a structured bonus plan based on performance results.

- (e) Description of the ways in which the bank seek to adjust remuneration to take account of longer-term performance. Disclosures should include:
 - A discussion of the bank's policy on deferral and vesting of variable remuneration and, if the fraction of variable remuneration that is deferred differs across employees or groups of employees, a description of the factors that determine the fraction and their relative importance

Pages 20 to 24 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

 A discussion of the bank's policy and criteria for adjusting deferred remuneration before vesting and (if permitted by national law) after vesting through claw back arrangements

Pages 20 to 24 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

- (f) Description of the different forms of variable remuneration that the bank utilizes and the rationale for using these different forms. Disclosures should include:
 - An overview of the forms of variable remuneration offered (ie cash, shares and share-linked instruments and other forms)



Pages 11 to 14 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank.

 A discussion of the use of the different forms of variable remuneration and, if the mix of different forms of variable remuneration differs across employees or groups of employees), a description the factors that determine the mix and their relative importance.

Pages 11 to 14 of the UBS Compensation Report at the attached link above contain the disclosures applicable to the Bank..

(g) • Number of meetings held by the main body overseeing remuneration during the financial year and remuneration paid to its member.

Meetings are held annually at the functional level and top management level of the Bank.

(h) • Number of employees having received a variable remuneration award during the financial year.

79 employees.

Number and total amount of guaranteed bonuses awarded during the financial year.

0 employees.

Number and total amount of sign-on awards made during the financial year

0 employees.

 Number and total amount of severance payments made during the financial year.

11 severance payments were made in 2012 for \$820k total.

- (i) Total amount of outstanding deferred remuneration, split into cash, shares and share-linked instruments and other forms.
 - Total amount of deferred remuneration paid out in the financial year.
- (j) Breakdown of amount of remuneration awards for the financial year to show:
 - fixed and variable. deferred and non-deferred. different forms used (cash, shares and share-linked instruments, other forms)



The below table refers to i and j above for all employees of the Bank:

	Unrestricted	Restricted
Fixed Remuneration		
- Cash Based	9,536,391	0
- Shares and share-linked instruments	0	0
- Taxable Benefits	79,317	0
Variable Remuneration		
- Cash Based	3,135,461	0
- Shares and share-linked instruments	384,562	0

Key management personnel consists of members of the Operating Committee as well as the Board of Directors. The independent members of the Board of Directors do not have employment or service contracts with the Bank, and thus are not entitled to pension benefits or share based incentives from the Bank.

No individual would be considered a material risk taker within the Bank.

The below tables refer to h and j above for key management personnel of the Bank:

Type of Remuneration

Short-term employee benefits	3,534,871
Post-employment benefits	0
Termination benefits	472,500
Share-based payment	77,109
	4,084,480

Shares and share options held by key management under share-based incentive plans have the following expiry dates and exercise prices:

Equity Holdings

Number of share options from equity participation plans	103,767
Number of shares held by key management personnel	94,772
	198,539



Table 16. Interim Public Capital Disclosure Requirements related to Basel III Pillar $\bf 3$

	Basel III common disclosure template to be used for fiscal Q1-13 & Q2-13	
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves	
1	Directly issued qualifying common share capital (and equivalent for non-joint stock	
	companies) plus related stock surplus	163,198
2	Retained earnings	(52,003)
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	(9)
4	Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group CET1)	Nil
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments	
5	Regulatory adjustments applied to Common Equity Tier 1 under Basel 3	2,286
6	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	108,900
	Additional Tier 1 capital: instruments	/
7	Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus	Nil
8	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Additional Tier 1	Nil
9	Additional Tier 1 instruments (and CET1 instruments not included in row 5) issued by	Nil
	subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group AT1)	
10	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out	Nil
	Additional Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments	
11	Regulatory adjustments applied to additional Tier 1 under Basel 3	Nil
12	Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1)	Nil
13	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	108,900
	Tier 2 capital: instruments and provisions	
14	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2instruments plus related stock surplus	N/A
15	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Tier 2	N/A
16	Tier 2 instruments (and CET1 and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by	N/A
	subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)	
17	of which instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out	
18	Provisions	N/A
	Tier 2 capital: regulatory adjustments	
19	Regulatory adjustments applied to Tier 2 under Basel 3	N/A
20	Tier 2 capital (T2)	N/A
21	Total capital (TC = T1 & T2)	N/A
22	Total risk weighted assets	N/A
	Capital ratios – Transitional Basis	
23	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets	64.70
24	Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	64.70
25	Total capital (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	64.70



Capital ratios – All-in Basis¹		
26	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	64.22
27	Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets	64.22
28	Total capital (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	64.22
National target – All-in Basis		
29	National Common Equity Tier 1 minimum ration (if different from Basel 3 minimum)	N/A
Capital instruments subject to phase-out arrangements		
(only applicable between 1 Jan 2013 and 1 Jan 2022)		
30	Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	N/A
31	Amount excluded from CET1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	N/A
32	Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	N/A
33	Amount excluded from AT1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	N/A
34	Current cap onT2 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	N/A
35	Amount excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	N/A